रजिस्ट्री सं० डी. 221 REGISTERED NO. D. 221

भारत का राजपत्र
The Gazette of India

असाधारण
EXTRAORDINARY
भाग II—खण्ड 2
PART II—Section 2
प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० 9] नई दिल्ली, मंगलवार, मार्च 2, 1965/फाल्गुन 11, 1886
No. 9] NEW DELHI, TUESDAY, MARCH 2, 1965/PHALGUNA 11, 1886

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।
Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

This Bill was introduced in the Rajya Sabha on the 2nd March, 1965:—

BILL NO. IX OF 1965

A Bill further to amend the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951.

BE it enacted by Parliament in the Sixteenth Year of the Republic of India as follows:—

1. This Act may be called the Industries (Development and Regulation) Amendment Act, 1965. Short title.

65 of 1951. 2. In section 18A of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951, for the proviso to sub-section (2), the following proviso shall be substituted, namely:— Amendment of section 18A.

"Provided that if the Central Government is of opinion that it is expedient in the public interest that any such notified order

should continue to have effect after the expiry of the period of five years aforesaid, it may from time to time issue directions for such continuance for such period, not exceeding two years at a time, as may be specified in the direction, so however that the total period of such continuance (after the expiry of the said period of five years) does not exceed ten years; and where any such direction is issued, a copy thereof shall be laid, as soon as may be, before both Houses of Parliament.".

STATEMENT OF OBJECTS AND REASONS

Under section 18A of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951, the Central Government is empowered to assume, by a notified order, management or control of an industrial undertaking under certain circumstances. Under sub-section (2) of that section, the notified order may have effect for a maximum period of five years. This period of five year limit may, however, be extended under the proviso to that sub-section but such extension may be given only once. No further extension appears to be permissible under the proviso.

2. The working of the Act has revealed that it is extremely difficult to anticipate, at the outset, the period for which the control or management of an industrial undertaking should be assumed. At the same time, such control or management should not be assumed for a period longer than necessary and it would therefore be undesirable to specify initially an unduly long period for the purpose. The Central Government should therefore have power to extend the five year limit by short periods only at a time, in order that it may have an opportunity of reviewing at reasonable intervals the question whether the purpose of the order made under section 18A has been fulfilled.

3. It is therefore proposed that the period of extension under the proviso should not exceed two years at a time and the total period of such extension should in no case exceed ten years. The Bill seeks to achieve this object.

NEW DELHI; T. N. SINGH.

The 15th February, 1965.

B. N. BANERJEE,
Secretary.

PRINTED IN INDIA BY THE GENERAL MANAGER, GOVERNMENT OF INDIA PRESS, MINTO ROAD, NEW DELHI, 1965. PUBLISHED BY THE MANAGER OF PUBLICATIONS, DELHI. LS I—918 G. OF I. EX.—8-3-65—2825.